Journal of Indian Acad. Math. ISSN: 0970-5120
Vol. 46, No. 2 (2024) pp. 133-152

Suman Sindhwani[1]
and
Rachana Gupta[2]

MAGNETO-CONVECTION AND SORET EFFECT IN NANOFLUID LAYERS: A DOUBLE DIFFUSIVE PERSPECTIVE

Abstract: This mathematical study aims at investigating the combined effect of a uniform vertical magnetic field and Soret effect on the onset of double diffusive convection in a nanofluid layer. The linear stability analysis is based on normal mode technique. Galerkin method has been applied to find the critical Rayleigh number and the corresponding wave number in terms of various parameters numerically. The effects of Soret parameter, magnetic field, Lewis number, Modified diffusivity ratio, Concentration Rayleigh-Darcy number, Solutal Rayleigh number on the stability of the system have been investigated. In this double diffusive convection under magnetic field, Darcy number also comes into play and has been observed to provide the stabilizing effect on stationary as well as oscillatory modes. The effects of other different parameters on the stability of the system have been investigated analytically as well as graphically. The comparison of results obtained has been done with the existing relevant studies.

Keywords: Nanofluid, Magnetic Field, Double Diffusion, Soret Parameter, Rayleigh Number.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) No.: 76E06, 76E25, 76R50, 76W05.

1. Introduction

Heat transfer mechanism has been improved by replacing micro sized particles with nano sized particles in conventional fluids. In 1992, Choi [7] observed that heat transfer is very excellent only when pumping power is increased and an

expensive cryogenic system is maintained. The term nanofluid was first coined by Choi, he described the future and hope of the application of nanotechnology. The nanoparticles differ from conventional particles (milli-meter or micro scale) in sense that they stay in suspension in the fluid without sedimentation. Kumar *et al*. [15] established the utility of a particular nanofluid for its heat transfer application. Wong and Leon [28] focussed on giving the broad range of present and future applications of nanofluids.

The problem of thermal convection for a Newtonian fluid layer was discussed by Chandrasekhar [6] taking varying assumptions of hydro-dynamics and hydro-magnetism. In a horizontal porous layer of nanofluid, convection was studied by Nield and Kuznetsov [21], incorporating Brownian Motion and Thermophoresis. He observed that the critical Rayleigh number decreases or increases by a significant amount when the basic nanoparticle concentration is increased at the top or bottom.

Study of convective instability of the nanofluids has many uses in astrophysics and geophysics etc. Buongiorno [5] proposed the model for nanofluid convection. Later, Nield and Kuznetsov [22] revisited this problem by taking different types of non-dimensional variables. It was observed that nanofluids are more unstable than the pure fluids. Maxwell [18] gave mathematical model for non-Newtonian fluids exhibiting the elastic and viscous behaviour simultaneously. Khuzhayorov *et al*. [14] introduced law for linear flow of variety of viscoelastic fluids flow. Problem for Maxwell nanofluid taking into account thermophoresis and Brownian diffusion was studied by Jaimala *et al.* [13].

The study of magnetic field effects on the onset of convection has important applications in physics and engineering. In metal casting and in cooling systems of electronic devices, magnetic field effects are of great importance. The nanofluid can be taken as a working medium in order to get effective heat performance of such devices. Rayleigh Benard Magneto-convection arises due to combined effect of buoyancy force and magnetic field induced Lorentz force. A non-dimensional parameter called Chandrasekhar number gets introduced due to Lorentz force. Heris *et al*. [10] observed the increase in thermal efficiency of a two-phase closed thermosyphon while experimental study in presence of magnetic field. The combined effect of a vertical magnetic field and the boundaries on the onset of convection in an electrically nanofluid layer heated from below was investigated by Yadav *et al*. [29]. Effect of magnetic field considering internal heating after filling the space between plates with nanofluid was also studied by Yadav *et al*. [30].

Double diffusion has become very important now days due to its vast applications. The temperature gradient affects the buoyancy force in double diffusive convection, but also the concentration gradient of the fluid has a significant effect on

the buoyancy force in double diffusion. Detailed discussion on double diffusive convection has been done in the books by Nield and Bejan [20], Ingham and Pop [12] and Vafai [26]. Research work on fluid instability in a horizontal layer was done by Horton and Rogers [11] and Lapwood [16]. Further Haajizadeh *et al*. [9], Gaikwad *et al.* [8], Malashetty and Swamy [17] also analysed stability of double diffusion in different cases.

In fluid flow problems, the phenomenon of generation of the concentration flux by temperature gradient is termed as Soret effect. Study on the Soret induced convective instability of a regular Newtonian fluid saturated in a porous medium has been done by many researchers. Wang and Tan [27] analysed the convctive instability in Benard cells in a non-Newtonian fluid incorporating Soret factor. The impact of Soret parameter induced by the temperature gradient was studied by Singh *et al*. [25]. Bahlowl *et al*. [4] and Mansour *et al*. [19] also have much research work on Soret effect in different forms of fluid layers. Postelnicu [23] and Rajput and Shareef [24] also studied the combined effect of magnetic field and Soret parameter. Agarwal *et al*. [1] studied the phenomenon in a horizontal porous layer taking the base fluid of the nanofluid as binary fluid. These nanofluids proved importance in electroplating and as a transfer medium in medical treatment by Buongiorno [5].

The literature survey indicates that no study has investigated the effect of magnetic field on double diffusive convection in a nanofluid layer with Soret factor. The present study examines the effect of vertical magnetic field on Soret induced double diffusive convection in a nanofluid layer.

2. Mathematical Formulation

We consider a layer of nanofluid confined between two infinite horizontal surfaces separated by a distance a, with z-axis vertically upward. Lower surface is maintained at higher temperature T_l^* and upper surface is maintained at temperature T_r^*. A uniform vertical magnetic field $M^* = (0, 0, M_0^*)$ is applied (See Fig. 1).

The governing equations for conservation of mass, momentum, energy and concentration of salt and nanoparticles are as follows:

$$\nabla^* . \boldsymbol{q}_d^* = 0 \tag{2.1}$$

$$\frac{\mu}{K}\,\boldsymbol{q}_d^* = (1 + \lambda^* \frac{\partial}{\partial t^*})[-\nabla^* p^* + (\psi^* \rho_p + (1 - \psi^*)\{\rho(1 - \beta_t(T^* - T_r^*) - \beta_c(S^* - S_r^*))\})\boldsymbol{g} + \frac{\mu_c}{4\pi}(\nabla^* \times \boldsymbol{M}^*) \times \boldsymbol{M}^* \tag{2.2}$$




Fig. 1: Physical configuration of the problem

$$(\rho c)_M \frac{\partial T^*}{\partial t^*} + (\rho c)_F \boldsymbol{q}_d^*.\nabla^* T^* = k_m \nabla^{*2} T^* + \in (\rho c)_P \left[B_d \nabla^* \psi^*.\nabla^* T^* + (\frac{B_t}{T_c^*}) \nabla^* T^*.\nabla^* T^* \right] \tag{2.3}$$

$$\frac{\partial S^*}{\partial t^*} + \frac{1}{\in} \boldsymbol{q}_d^*.\nabla^* S^* = S_d \nabla^{*2} S^* + S_{ct} \nabla^{*2} T^* \tag{2.4}$$

$$\frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial t^*} + \frac{1}{\in} \boldsymbol{q}_d^*.\nabla^* \psi^* = B_d \nabla^{*2} \psi^* + \frac{B_t}{T_c^*} \nabla^{*2} T^* \tag{2.5}$$

Maxwell equations in modified form are

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t^*} + \frac{1}{\in} (\boldsymbol{q}_d^*.\nabla^*) \right) \boldsymbol{M}^* = (\boldsymbol{M}^*.\nabla^*) \frac{1}{\in} \boldsymbol{q}_d^* + \eta \nabla^{*2} \boldsymbol{M}^* \tag{2.6}$$

where $\nabla^*.\boldsymbol{M}^* = 0$, $\eta = \dfrac{1}{4\pi\mu_e\sigma'}$ and $q_d^* = (u_{1d}^*, u_{2d}^*, u_{3d}^*)$.

For constant temperature and salt concentrations at the boundaries and zero nanoparticle flux, the boundary conditions are taken as

$$\boldsymbol{q}_d^* = 0,\ T = T_l^*,\ S^* = S_l^*,\ B_d\,\frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial z^*} + \frac{B_t}{T_c^*}\frac{\partial T^*}{\partial z^*} = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad z^* = 0 \tag{2.7}$$

$$\boldsymbol{q}_d^* = 0,\ T = T_r^*,\ S^* = S_r^*,\ B_d\,\frac{\partial \phi^*}{\partial z^*} + \frac{B_t}{T_c^*}\frac{\partial T^*}{\partial z^*} = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad z^* = a \tag{2.8}$$

Non-dimensional scheme is given below as

$$(X, Y, Z) = \frac{(x^*, y^*, z^*)}{a}, \qquad t = \frac{t^*\alpha_m}{\sigma a^2}, \qquad (u_{1d}, u_{2d}, u_{3d}) = \frac{(u_{1d}^*, u_{2d}^*, u_{3d}^*)a}{\alpha_m},$$

$$p = \frac{p^*K}{\mu\alpha_m}, \qquad \psi = \frac{\psi^* - \psi_0^*}{\psi_0^*}\ T = \frac{T^* - T_r^*}{T_l^* - T_r^*}, \qquad \lambda = \frac{\lambda^*\alpha_m}{a^2} \qquad \text{and}$$

$$(M_X, M_Y, M_Z) = \frac{(M_X^*, M_Y^*, M_Z^*)}{M_0^*}, \qquad (X, Y, Z) = \frac{(x^*, y^*, z^*)}{a}, \qquad t = \frac{t^*\alpha_m}{\sigma a^2},$$

$$(u_{1d}, u_{2d}, u_{3d}) = \frac{(u_{1d}^*, u_{2d}^*, u_{3d}^*)a}{\alpha_m}, \quad p = \frac{p^*K}{\mu\alpha_m}, \quad \psi = \frac{\psi^* - \psi_0^*}{\psi_0^*}, \quad S = \frac{S^* - S_r^*}{S_l^* - S_r^*}$$

$$T = \frac{T^* - T_r^*}{T_l^* - T_r^*},\ \lambda = \frac{\lambda^*\alpha_m}{a^2} \quad \text{and} \quad (M_X, M_Y, M_Z) = \frac{(M_X^*, M_Y^*, M_Z^*)}{M_0^*}.$$

On replacing $\boldsymbol{q}_d$ by $\boldsymbol{q}$

$$\nabla.\boldsymbol{q} = 0 \tag{2.9}$$

$$\boldsymbol{q} = (1 + \frac{\lambda}{\sigma}\frac{\partial}{\partial t})[\{-\nabla p - R_m\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_z - R_n\phi\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_z + R_aT\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_z + \frac{R_s}{Ln}S\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_z\} + \frac{P_1}{P_{1m}}QD_a(\nabla \times M) \times M] \tag{2.10}$$

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + (\boldsymbol{q}.\nabla)T = \nabla^2 T + \frac{N_b}{L_e}\nabla\psi.\nabla T + \frac{N_aN_b}{L_e}\nabla T.\nabla T \tag{2.11}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sigma}\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\in}\boldsymbol{q}.\nabla S = \frac{1}{Ln}\nabla^2 S + N_{ct}\nabla^2 T \tag{2.12}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sigma}\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\in}(\boldsymbol{q}.\nabla)\psi = \frac{1}{Le}\nabla^2\psi + \frac{N_a}{Le}\nabla^2 T \tag{2.13}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sigma}\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{M}}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\in}(\boldsymbol{q}.\nabla)\boldsymbol{M} = \frac{1}{\in}(\boldsymbol{M}.\nabla)\boldsymbol{q} + \frac{P_1}{P_{1m}}\nabla^2\boldsymbol{M} \tag{2.14}$$

Here $R_a(=\frac{\rho g\beta Kd(T_l^* - T_r^*)}{\mu\alpha_m})$, $R_n(=\frac{(\rho_P - \rho)\psi_0^* gKd}{\mu\alpha_m})$, $R_m(=\frac{\rho_P\psi_0^* + \rho(1-\psi_0^*)gKd}{\mu\alpha_m})$, $R_s(=\frac{\rho\beta_c gdK(S_l^* - S_r^*)}{\mu S_d})$ are thermal, concentration, basic density and solutal Rayleigh Darcy number respectively, $P_1(=\frac{\mu}{\rho\alpha_m})$ and $P_{1m}(=\frac{\mu}{\rho\eta})$ are Prandtl numbers, $Q(=\frac{\mu_e M_0^{*2} d^2}{4\pi\mu\eta})$ is Magnetic Chandrasekhar number, $D_a(=\frac{K}{a^2})$ is Darcy number, $N_{ct}(=\frac{S_{ct}(T_l^* - T_r^*)}{\alpha_m(S_l^* - S_r^*)})$ is Soret parameter, $N_a(=\frac{B_t(T_l^* - T_r^*)}{B_d T_r^* Q_0^*})$ and $N_b(=\frac{(\rho c)_p \in Q_0^*)}{(\rho c)_F})$ are modified diffusivity ratio and modified particle density increment respectively, $Le(=\frac{\alpha_m}{B_d})$ and $Ln = \frac{\alpha_m}{S_d}$ are Lewis numbers for nanofluid and salt respectively.

The basic time independent flow state is given by

$$\boldsymbol{q} = 0,\ p = p_{bs}(Z),\ \psi = \psi_{bs}(Z),\ T = T_{bs}(Z),\ S = S_{bs}(Z),\ \boldsymbol{M}\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_z \tag{2.15}$$

where the suffix ‘bs’ refers to the basic flow. The basic volume fraction and temperature equations provide

$$T_{bs} = 1 - Z,\ \psi_{bs} = \psi_0 + N_a Z,\ S_{bs} = 1 - Z.$$

On the basic state, we superimpose perturbations in the form

Let $\boldsymbol{q} = \boldsymbol{q}'$, $p = p_{bs} + p'$, $T = T_{bs} + T'$, $S = S_{bs} + S'$, $\boldsymbol{\phi} = \boldsymbol{\phi}_{bs} + \boldsymbol{\phi}'$, $\boldsymbol{M} = \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_z + \boldsymbol{M}'$,

where the primes denote infinitesimal small quantities. Ignoring the products of primed quantities and their derivatives, following linearised form of equations is obtained:

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sigma}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{P_1}{P_{1m}}\nabla^2\right)\left[\nabla^2 u_3' - \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{\sigma}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)\left(R_a\nabla_H^2 T' - R_n\nabla_H^2\boldsymbol{\phi}' + \frac{R_s}{L_n}\nabla_H^2 S'\right)\right]$$
$$= (1 + \frac{\lambda}{\sigma}\frac{\partial}{\partial t})Q\,\frac{P_r}{P_{rM}}\,\frac{D_a}{\in}\,\nabla^2\,\frac{\partial^2 u_3'}{\partial Z^2} \tag{2.16}$$

$$\frac{\partial T'}{\partial t} - \mu_3' = \nabla^2 T' - \frac{N_a N_b}{Le}\frac{\partial T'}{\partial Z} - \frac{N_b}{Le}\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\phi}'}{\partial Z} \tag{2.17}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sigma}\frac{\partial S'}{\partial t} - \frac{u_3'}{\in} = \frac{1}{Ln}\nabla^2 S' + N_{ct}\nabla^2 T' \tag{2.18}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sigma}\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\psi}'}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\in}(\boldsymbol{V}'.\nabla)\boldsymbol{\psi}' + \frac{1}{\in}N_a u_3' = \frac{1}{Le}\nabla^2\boldsymbol{\psi}' + \frac{N_a}{Le}\nabla^2 T' \tag{2.19}$$

with boundary conditions

$$u_3' = 0,\ T' = 0, S' = 0,\ \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\psi}'}{\partial Z} + N_a\frac{\partial T'}{\partial Z} = 0 \quad \text{and } Z = 1 \tag{2.20}$$

3. Linear Study

Following the linear stability theory by Chandrasekhar [6], the perturbations are taken of the form

$$(\boldsymbol{\psi}', T', u_3', S') = [\Phi(Z), \Theta(\mathrm{Z}), \Omega(\mathrm{Z}), \Psi(\mathrm{Z})]e^{st+iLX+iMY} \tag{3.1}$$

where *L* and *M* are dimensionless wave numbers in X and *Y* directions respectively.

On substituting the above values and employing Galerkin method to solve equations (2.16) to (2.19) together with the boundary condition (2.20) and taking first estimation as N = 1, we have

$$\Omega = A_1 \sin \pi Z,\ \Theta = B_1 \sin \pi Z, \Phi = -N_a C_1 \sin \pi Z,\ \Psi = D_1 \sin \pi Z\ .$$

Taking the determinant of the resulting matrix equation as zero, the following Rayleigh number is obtained

$$R_a = \frac{\sigma}{\in \alpha^2}\left[\begin{array}{l} R_s\alpha^2(\lambda s+\sigma)(\sigma A\chi^2+s)(\sigma\chi^2+sLe)(\chi^2+s)\{\chi^2(\in N_{ct}-1)-s\} \\ -R_nN_a\alpha^2(\lambda s+\sigma)(\chi^2\sigma+sLn)(A\chi^2\sigma+s)\{\chi^2(\in+Le)+sLe\} \\ +\in(\chi^2\sigma+sLn)(\chi^2\sigma+sLe)(\chi^2+s)(A\sigma\chi^4+B\pi^2\chi^2(\sigma+\lambda s)+s\chi^2\} \\ \hline (\sigma\chi^2+sLn)(\sigma\chi^2+sLe)(\lambda s+\sigma)(A\chi^2\sigma+s) \end{array}\right] \tag{3.2}$$

where, $A = \dfrac{P_1}{P_{1m}}$ and $B = Q\,\dfrac{P_1}{P_{1m}}\,\dfrac{D_a}{\in}$.

4. Graphical results and discussions

4.1 Stationary Convection: Taking s = 0 in equation (3.2),

$$R_a^{st} = \frac{\chi^4}{\alpha^2} - \left(1+\frac{Le}{\in}\right)R_nN_a + \frac{QD_a\pi^2\chi^2}{\in\alpha^2} - \frac{R_s}{\in}(1-\in N_{ct}) \tag{4.1}$$

From equation (4.1), the minimum Rayleigh number is given as

$$R_{ac}^{st} = \pi^2\left[1+\left(1+\frac{QD_a}{\in}\right)^{1/2}\right]^2 - R_nN_a\left(1+\frac{Le}{\in}\right) - \frac{R_s}{\in}(1-\in N_a) \tag{4.2}$$

at critical wave number $\alpha_c = \pi\left(1+\dfrac{QD_a}{\in}\right)^{1/4}$. In absence of magnetic field, eq. (4.2) converts to

$$R_{ac}^{st} = 4\pi^2 - R_nN_a\left(1+\frac{Le}{\in}\right) - \frac{R_S}{\in}(1-\in N_{ct})$$

which is same as obtained by Singh *et al*. [25]. The stationary convection curves for Rayleigh number R_a versus the wave number α are shown in Fig. 2(a)-(f) by assigning fixed values.

$$N_a = 4,\ D_a = 0.2,\ Le = 10,\ R_n = 4,\ \in = 0.4,\ Q = 800,\ R_s = 5,\ N_{ct} = 0.1$$

with variations in one of these parameters.

Fig 2(a) shows the effect of Darcy number. The increase in Darcy Number increases the Rayleigh Number resulting in delay in convection. Fig 2(b) displays the effect of porosity parameter $\in$. Porosity has stabilizing as well as destabilizing effect in presence of Q. Initially there is decrease in Rayleigh no. with increase in porosity and after a certain wave no. behaviour gets reversed. Fig 2(c) illustrates the behaviour of Rayleigh Number for different values of Lewis number. There is decrease in Rayleigh number with Le.

The effect of R_n on Rayleigh Number is shown in Fig 2(d). Different Curves show that Rayleigh Number is decreased with increase in R_n . The graphs for Rayleigh Number R_a against the wave number α for various values of N_a and fixed values of other parameters are in Fig 2(e). It is evident that N_a advances the onset of stationary convection. Fig 2(f) shows the variation of Rayleigh Number for different values of Q. It is clear from the figure that there is a significant increase in the value of critical Rayleigh Number with increase in Q. Thus, the magnetic field stabilises the nanofluid layer and the increase in magnetic field increases the stabilising effect. Fig. 2(g) shows the effect of Soret parameter. Critical Rayleigh no. increases with increase in Soret parameter and hence responsible for promoting the stability of the flow. It is clear from Fig. 2(h) on increasing the solutal Rayleigh number critical Rayleigh no. is decreased, thus resulting in an early convection.

4.2. Oscillatory Convection: Taking $s = i\omega$ in equation (35), we get the following Rayleigh Number:

$$R_a^{osc} = \frac{\sigma}{\alpha^2 \in}\left[\frac{(X_1 + X_2 + X_3)U - (Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3)V}{U^2 + \omega^2 V^2}\right] \tag{4.3}$$

where $A = \dfrac{P_r}{P_{rM}}$, $\quad B = Q\,\dfrac{P_r}{P_{rM}}\,\dfrac{D_a}{\in}$

$$X_1 = \begin{bmatrix} R_s\alpha^2[\sigma\chi^2(\in N_{ct} - 1)\{A\chi^2(\sigma^2\chi^2 - \lambda\omega^2 Le) - \omega^2(Le + \lambda\chi^2)\} \\ -\omega^2\{\lambda\omega^2 Le - \sigma^2 A\chi^2(Le + \lambda\chi^2) - \sigma^2\chi^2\}] \end{bmatrix},$$

$$X_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -R_n N_a\alpha^2[(\in +Le)\{A\chi^4\sigma(\sigma^2\chi^2 - \lambda\omega^2 Ln) - \omega^2\sigma\chi^2(\lambda\chi^2 + Ln)\} \\ -\omega^2\chi^2\sigma^2 Le(1 + \lambda A\chi^2) + \omega^2 LeLn(\lambda\omega^2 - \sigma^2 A\chi^2)] \end{bmatrix},$$

$$X_3 = \begin{bmatrix} \in [\sigma\chi^4(A\chi^2 + B\pi^2)\{\sigma^2\chi^4 - \omega^2 LnLe - \sigma\omega^2(Ln + Le)\} \\ -\omega^2\chi^2(1 + \lambda\pi^2 B)\{\sigma^2\chi^4 - \omega^2 LnLe + \sigma\chi^4(Ln + Le)\}] \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Y_1 = \begin{bmatrix} R_s\alpha^2[(\in N_{ct} - 1)\{A\chi^4\sigma^2\omega^2(\lambda\chi^2 + Le) + \omega^2\chi^2(\sigma^2\chi^2 - \lambda\omega^2 Le)\} \\ +\omega^2\sigma(\lambda\omega^2 A\chi^2 Le + \omega^2 Le - \sigma^2 A\chi^4 + \lambda\omega^2\chi^2)] \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Y_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -R_n N_a\alpha^2[\omega^2\chi^2(\in +Le)(A\chi^4\lambda\sigma^2 + A\chi^2\sigma^2 Ln + \sigma^2\chi^2 - \lambda\omega^2 Ln) \\ -\omega^2 Le\{\lambda\chi^2\omega^2\sigma(1 + ALn) + \sigma\omega^2 Ln - A\sigma^3\chi^4\}] \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Y_3 = \begin{bmatrix} \in [\sigma\chi^2\omega^2(A\chi^2 + B\pi^2)\{\sigma^2\chi^4 - \omega^2 LnLe + \sigma\chi^4(Ln + Le)\} \\ -\omega^2\chi^4(1 + \lambda\pi^2 B)\{\sigma\omega^2(Ln + Le) - \chi^4\sigma^2 + \omega^2 LnLe\}] \end{bmatrix},$$

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} \omega^2\{\lambda\omega^2 LnLe - \chi^2\sigma^2(\chi^2\lambda + Ln + Le)\} \\ +A\chi^2\sigma^2\{\sigma^2\chi^4 - \omega^2 LnLe - \lambda\omega^2\chi^2(Ln + Le)\} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma\omega^2\{\lambda\chi^2(Ln + Le) + LeLn\} - \chi^4\sigma^3 \\ -A\chi^2\sigma\{\sigma^2\chi^2(Ln + Le) + \lambda(\sigma^2\chi^4 - \omega^2 LnLe)\} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The frequency of oscillation is given by

$$X_1'L_1 - X'L')\omega^6 + (X_1'M_1 + Z_1'L_1 - Y'L' - X'N')\omega^4$$

$$+ (Y_1'L_1 + Z_1'M_1 - X'M' - Y'N')\omega^2 + (Y_1'M_1 - Y'M') = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

Here

$$X_1' = \left[-R_n N_a \alpha^2 \lambda LeLn + \chi^2 \in LeLn(1 + \lambda\pi^2 B) - \lambda R_s \alpha^2 Le\right]$$

$$Y_1' = [\sigma^3 A\chi^6 R_s \alpha^2 (\in N_{ct} - 1) + \in \sigma^3 \chi^8 (A\chi^2 + B\pi^2) - R_n N_a \alpha^2 A\chi^6 \sigma^2 (\in + Le)],$$

$$Z_1' = \begin{bmatrix} R_n N_a \alpha^2 [\sigma\chi^2\{\lambda\chi^2(\in + Le) + \sigma Le + \in Ln + LeLn\} \\ + A\chi^4 \lambda\sigma Ln(\in + Le) + \lambda A\chi^4 \sigma^2 Le] \\ + \sigma^2 A\chi^2 LeLn] + R_s \alpha^2 [\chi^2 \sigma Le - \in N_{ct} Le + \sigma - \lambda\chi^2 \in N_{ct} + \lambda\chi^2) \\ + \sigma^2 A\chi^2 Le - \lambda A\chi^4 \sigma Le(\in N_{ct} - 1) + \lambda\sigma^2 A\chi^4 \\ - \in \chi^4 \sigma[\chi^2(1 + \lambda\pi^2 B)(Le + \sigma + Ln) + (A\chi^2 + B\pi^2)\{\sigma(Ln + Le) + LnLe\} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$L_1 = \sigma\lambda\chi^2(Ln + Le) + \sigma LeLn + A\sigma\chi^2 \lambda LnLe,$$

$$M_1 = -A\sigma\chi^2\{\sigma^2\chi^2(Ln + Le) + \lambda\sigma^2\chi^4\} - \chi^4\sigma^3,$$

$$X' = \begin{bmatrix} -R_n N_a \alpha^2 [\lambda\chi^2\{\sigma Le + Ln)(\in + Le)\} + \sigma LeLn(1 + \lambda A\chi^2)] \\ + \in [\chi^4(1 + \lambda\pi^2 B)\{\sigma(Ln + Le) + LeLn\} + \sigma\chi^2 LnLe(A\chi^2 + B\pi^2)] \\ - R_s \alpha^2 [\chi^2 \lambda\sigma + Le\{\sigma + \chi^2\lambda(1 - \in N_{ct})\} + \lambda\sigma A\chi^2 Le] \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Y' = \begin{bmatrix} R_n N_a \alpha^2 [\chi^4 \sigma^2 (\in + Le) + A\sigma^2\chi^4\{(\in + Le)(Ln + \lambda\chi^2) + \sigma Le\}] \\ - \in \sigma^2 \chi^6 \{\chi^2(1 + \lambda\pi^2 B) + (A\chi^2 + B\pi^2)(Ln + Le + \sigma)\} \\ + R_s \alpha^2 \chi^4 \sigma^2 [(1 - \in N_{ct})\{1 + A(Le + \lambda\chi^2) + \sigma A\}] \end{bmatrix},$$

$$L' = \lambda LnLe,$$

$$M' = A\chi^6 \sigma^4,$$


and $N' = -\chi^2\sigma^2\{\chi^2\lambda + Ln + Le + ALnLe + A\chi^2\lambda(Ln + Le)\}$.

For oscillatory convection, R_a vs α curves are shown in Figure 3 (a)-(k) for fixed value of $R_n, Le, Q, D_a, \varepsilon, N_a, \sigma, \lambda, Ln, R_s$ and N_{ct} with variations in one of these parameters. No critical Rayleigh number is obtained for oscillatory

convection.

Fig 3(a), (d), (e), (f), (j) and (k) depict the effects of D_a, N_a, R_n, Q, R_s and λ. In each of these graphs, Rayleigh no. increases with increase in each of the respective parameters.

Fig 3(b), (g), (h) and (i) show the effects of ε, Ln, σ and N_{ct}. In each of these graphs, Rayleigh no. decreases with increase in each of these respective parameters. Fig. 3(c) shows the dual effect of Lewis number on oscillatory Rayleigh number. Initially Rayleigh number decreases with increase in Lewis no. but after a certain wave no. the effect gets reversed.








Fig. 2: Stationary convection for different values of
(a) D_a (b) ε (c) Le (d) R_n (e) N_a (f) Q (g) N_{ct} (h) R_s




(c)
(d)
(e)
(f)
(g)
(h)




Fig. 3: Oscillatory convection for different values of
(a) D_a (b) ε (c) Le (d) N_a (e) R_n (f) Q (g) Ln (h) σ (i) N_{ct} (j) R_s (k) λ

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we have determined how the presence of the magnetic field affects double diffusive convection in Soret induced Darcy Maxwell nanofluid layer. The layer was soluted and heated from below and uniform magnetic field was applied in vertical direction. The comparison of results obtained has been done with the existing relevant studies. The main conclusions of the present analysis are as follows:

R_a^{st} has been observed to be function of parameters $Da,\ \varepsilon,\ Le, R_n, N_a,$ Q, N_{ct}, R_s whereas R_a^{osc} is function of Ln, σ and λ in addition to above parameters.

The effect of Lewis number Le is to decrease R_a^{st} but has twin effect on oscillatory convection.

An increase in porosity decreases R_a^{osc} but dual effect on stationary Rayleigh number.

A positive Soret coefficient N_{ct} has stabilizing effect on convection as obtained by Gaikwad *et al*. [8] for a regular fluid as well as obtained by Singh *et al*. [25] for a nanofluid but here in presence of magnetic field the effect is found to destabilize the oscillatory Rayleigh number as increase in N_{ct} decreases R_a^{osc}.

The influence of magnetic field is to stabilise the Soret induced double diffusive convection as was found by Yadav [30] in nanofluid convection induced by internal heating.

In this convection under magnetic field, Darcy number also comes into play and and has been observed to provide stabilizing effect on stationary and oscillatory modes.

An increase in Solutal Rayleigh Darcy number R_s was observed to cause increase in R_a^{st} and R_a^{osc} by Singh *et al*. [25] but the presence of magnetic field here causes the effect of R_s to be stabilize the oscillatory convection.

The increase in parameters Ln, σ increases the oscillatory Rayleigh number. This behaviour is opposite to be observed by Singh *et al*. [25] in absence of magnetic field.

Parameters R_n and N_a destabilizes the stationary mode but are found to stabilize the oscillatory convection.

REFERENCES

[1] Agarwal, S. N., Sacheti, C., Chandran, P., Bhadauria, B. S. and Singh, A. K. (2012): Non-linear convective transport in a binary nanofluid saturated porous layer, *Transport in Porous Media*, Vol. 93, pp. 29-49.

[2] Alishayev, M. G. (1974): Proceedings of Moscow Pedagogy Institute, Vol. 3, pp. 166-174.

[3] Baehr, H. D. and Stefan, K. (2011): Heat and Mass Transfer, third edition, Springer, New York.

[4] Bahlowl, A., Boutana, N. and Vasseur P. (2003): Double-diffusive and Soret-induced convection in a shallow horizontal porous layer, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, Vol. 491, pp. 325-352.

[5] Buongiorno, J. (2006): Convective transport in nanofluid. *ASME Journal of Heat Transfer*, Vol. 128(3), pp. 240-250.

[6] Chandrasekhar, S. (1981): Hydro-dynamic and Hydromagnetic Stability, Dover Publication, New-York.

[7] Choi, S. (1995): Enhancing thermal conductivity of fluids with nanoparticles, ASME Int. Mech. Eng., 231/MD-66, 99-105.

[8] Gaikwad, S. N., Malashetty, M. S. and Prasad, K. R. (2009): An analytical study of linear and non-linear double diffusive convection in a fluid saturated anisotropic porous layer with Soret effect, *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, Vol. 33, pp. 3617-3635.

[9] Haaajizadeh, M., Ozgue, A. F. and Tien, C. I. (1984): Natural convection in a vertical porous enclosure with internal heat generation, *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, Vol. 27, pp. 1893-1902.

[10] Heris, S. Z., Salehi, H. and Noie, S. H. (2012): The effect of magnetic field and nanofluid on thermal performance of two-phase closed thermosyphon (TPCT), *Int Journal Phys. Sci*., Vol. 7, pp. 534-543.

[11] Horton, C. W. and Rogers, F. T. (1945): Convection currents in a porous medium. *Journal of Applied Physics*, Vol. 16(6), pp. 367-370.

[12] Ingham, D. B. and Pop, I. (2005): Transport Phenomena in Porous Media, III, Pergamon, Oxford.

[13] Jaimala, Singh, R. and Tyagi, V. K. (2017): A macroscopic filtration model for natural convection in a Darcy Maxwell nanofluid saturated porous layer with no nanoparticle flux at the boundary, *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, Vol. 111, pp. 451-466.

[14] Khuzhayorov, B., Auriault, J. L. and Royer, P. (2000); Deviation of macroscopic filtration law for transient linear fluid flow in porous media, *International Journal of Engineering Sciences*, Vol. 38(5), pp. 487-504.

[15][Kumar, S., Prasad, S. K. and Banerjee, J. (2010): Analysis of flow and thermal field in nanofluid using a single-phase thermal dispersion model, *Applied Mathematics Model*, Vol. 34, pp. 573-592.

[16] Lapwood, E. R. (1960): Convection of a fluid in a porous medium, *Camb. Phil. Soc*., Vol. 9, pp. 183-192.

[17] Malashetty, M. S. and Swamy, M. (2007): The effect of rotation on the onset of convection in a horizontal porous layer, *International Journal of Thermal Sciences*, Vol. 46, pp. 1023-1032.

[18] Maxwell, J. C. (1873): On double refraction in a viscous fluid in motion, *Proceedings of Royal Society London*, Vol. 22 (148), pp. 46-47.

[19] Mansour, A., Amahmid, A., Hasnaoui, M. and Bourich, M. (2006): Multiplicity of solutions induced by thermosolutal convection in a square porous cavity heated from below and submitted to horizontal concentration gradient in the presence of Soret effect, *Numerical Heat Transfer*, Part A, pp. 69-91.

[20] Nield, D. A. and Bejan, A. (2006): Convection in Porous Media, third ed., Springer-Verlag, New York.

[21] Nield, D. A. and Kuznetsov, A. V. (2009): Thermal instability in a porous medium layer saturated by a nanofluid, *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, Vol. 52, pp. 5796-5801.

[22] Nield, D. A. and Kuznetsov, A. V. (2014): Thermal instability in a porous medium layer saturated by a nanofluid: a revised model, *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, Vol. 68, pp. 211- 214.

[23] Postelnicu, A. (2004): Influence of a magnetic field on heat and mass transfer by natural convection from vertical surfaces in porous media considering Soret and Dufour effects, International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, Vol. 47, pp. 1467-1475.

[24] Rajput, U. S. and Shareef, M. (2018): Study of Soret and Ion slip effects on MHD flow near an oscillating vertical plate in a rotating system, *Int. Journal AAM*, Vol. 13, pp. 516-534.

[25] Singh, R., Bishnoi, J. and Tyagi, V. K. (2019): Onset of Soret driven instability in a Darcy- Maxwell nanofluid, *SN Applied Sciences*, Vol. 1(10), pp. 1-29.

[26] Vafai, K. (2005): Handbook of Porous Media, second edition, Taylor and Francis Group, LLC, US.

[27] Wang, S. and Tan, W. (2011): Stability analysis of soret-driven double-diffusive convection of Maxwell fluid in a porous medium, *International Journal of Heat and Fluid flow*, Vol. 32(1), pp. 88-94.

[28] Wong, V. K. and Leon, O. D. (2009): Applications of Nanofluids: Current and Future, Hindawi Publishing Corporation, Advances in Mechanical Engineering, 2010, Article ID 519659.

[29] Yadav, D., Agrawal, G. S. and Bhargava, R. (2011): Thermal instability of rotating nanofluid layer, *International Journal of Engineering Science*, Vol. 49, pp. 1171-1184.

[30] Yadav, D., Changhoon, K., Jinho, L. and Hyung, H. C. (2015): Influence of magnetic field on the onset of nanofluid convection induced by purely internal heating, *Journal of Computers and Fluids*, Vol. 121, pp. 26-36.

1, 2, Hindu Girls College,
Sonipat, Haryana, India

(*Received*, August 31, 2024)

1. E-mail: 1ssindhwani2001@gmail.com,
2. E-mail: grachana1@gmail.com

Nomenclature			
B_d	Brownian diffusion coefficient	$(\rho c)_M$	Medium's effective heat capacity
B_t	Thermophoretic diffusion coefficient	$(\rho c)_F$	Fluid's effective heat capacity
C	Specific heat of nanofluid	$(\rho c)_P$	Effective heat capacity of nanoparticles
G	Gravitational acceleration	α	Wave number
k_m	Effective thermal conductivity	α_m	Thermal diffusivity of the porous medium
K	Permeability	β_c	Solutal Volumetric Coefficient
S	Solute concentration	β_t	Thermal Volumetric Coefficient
$S*$	Dimensionless solute concentration	λ	Relaxation time
S_d	Diffusion coefficient	μ_e	Magnetic permeability
S_{ct}	Soret coefficient of salt	σ	Heat capacity ratio
t	Dimensionless time	σ'	Electrical conductivity of nanofluid
t^*	Time	ω	Frequency of oscillation
T^*	Nanofluid temperature	ψ^*	Volume fraction of Nanoparticle
(x^*, y^*, z^*)	Space coordinates	ψ_0^*	Reference value of nanoparticle volume fraction
(X, Y, Z)	Dimensionless space coordinates	$\in$	Porosity